pNFS Capabilities in the Real World or pNFS under fire (update) Tigran Mkrtchyan for dCache team.



Storage type share (in PB) @ HEP



Tigran Mkrtchyan

Storage type share (in PB) @ HEP



dCache in one slide



Tigran Mkrtchyan

NFS module



NFS module



dCache

- Immutable data (PUT/GET/REMOVE/METADATE UPDATE)
 - Common use-case today?
- Policy based file migration
 - Simple interface to tape systems
- Metadata query optimized namespace (MDS)
 - RDBMS back-end with SQL access
- Aggregates simple building blocks into distributed storage
 - **Don't panic:** we use your boxes as SAN (fc) or DAS (JBOD)
 - Java VM and filesystem required (typically, xfs and zfs)

dCache + pNFS

- No file striping in upcoming (1.9.12) version
 - (sparse) Striping on read in test phase
 - (dense) Striping on WRITE come later
- No proxy-io through MDS
 - Will be for complete IO only
- No LOCKs all files are immutable
- LAYOUTRECALL on close
 - but we work on changing this
- WE DO NOT EXPORT EXISTING FILE SYSTEMS
 - we are the file system

Cthon-2010



The testbed (2011)



Production like environment in a size of small Lab:

- Servers : dcache-1.9.10-2, kernel-2.6.18
 - MDS + 5xDEll R510
 - 8 cores, 12 GB RAM, 12x2TB SATA, 80TB total
 - 10 GB/s uplink

Clients:

- 32x 8 core, 16GB RAM, RHEL5.3 x64 + kernel-2.6.37-pnfs
 - 256 job in total

Network:

- 3GB/s worker nodes to storage Software:
- Standard analysis jobs provided by physicists

Environment (II)

Recompiled for RHEL5 x64 packages from fedora-14 repository

- nfs-utils-lib
- nfs-utils
- libtirpc
- libgssglue

Yum repository available at: http://download.opensuse.org/repositories/home:/tig ranm:/nfs-utils/RedHat_RHEL-5/home:tigranm:nfsutils.repo



- 13 TB over WAN, ~100GB each file
- Kernel-2.6.xx.tar.bg2 unpack
- 'Is -IR' over slow connection
- Number of concurrent jobs from one to 256
- Each job was running for 24 hours
- None of the files was used twice

Operational issues

Performance



Network bandwith vs. number of jobs

Number of Jobs

Performance (II)



Tigran Mkrtchyan

Performance (III)



Jobs Efficiency (CPU/Wall) mean

Conclusions #1

- We have dedicated testing environment
 - 50% CPU and 30% storage of a small Lab
- System is stable for on field testing and brave users
- NFSv41 often performs better than home grown protocols
 - See next slides
- We are able to utilize 100% of DISK/NET bandwidth
- Our applications survive upstream kernel with RHEL5

Conclusions #2

We have tested the dCache server implementation of the NFS v4.1(pnfs) protocol against the dCap protocol using LHC analysis code. Also synthetic and stability tests were performed. We see a remarkable stability of the dCache server and an overall comparable if not superior performance of NFS v4.1(pnfs). We clearly see effects and benefits from the client caching of the Linux kernel.

> CHEP2010, LHC Data Analysis Using NFSv4.1 (pNFS) Yves Kemp

Are we ready?

Why we should use pNFS?

- No vendor lock
- Easy to move do a different solution
- No need to modify/re-link applications
- Client comes with OS (one day)
- Industry standard, rfc5661

Why we should use pNFS?

- No vendor lock
- Easy to move do a different solution
- No need to modify/re-link applications
- Client comes with OS
- Industry standard, rfc5661

The MOST hard point to convince our customer. Up to now non of the vendors provided any test system or estimated delivery date.



We have reasonable testing environment, MAKE USE OF IT!

Work-in-progress

We are working on striping on read

- deviceid generated per file
 - may be the same in some cases
- Always empty device list
- The same FH for all DS
- Round-robin stripping pattern

Info and links

http://www.dcache.org/ http://www.eu-emi.eu/ http://www.dcache.org/downloads/nfsv41.repo